arXiv:1005.1496v1 [math-ph] 10 May 2010

May 11, 2010

HAMILTON-JACOBI THEORY IN k-SYMPLECTIC FIELD THEORIES

M. DE LEÓN, D. MARTÍN DE DIEGO, J.C. MARRERO, M. SALGADO, AND S. VILARIÑO

Abstract. In this paper we extend the geometric formalism of Hamilton-Jacobi theory for Mechanics to the case of classical field theories in the k-symplectic framework.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Geometric preliminaires 2
3. k-symplectic Hamiltonian field theory and the Hamilton-Jacobi equation 3
3.1. k-symplectic Hamiltonian field theory 3
3.2. The Hamilton-Jacobi equation 4
4. The Hamilton-Jacobi problem and the k-symplectic Lagrangian field theory 8
4.1. k-symplectic Lagrangian field theory 8
4.2. Hamilton-Jacobi problem on T^1_kQ 9
5. Example 12
Acknowledgments 13
References 13

1. Introduction

The usefulness of Hamilton-Jacobi theory in Classical Mechanics is well-known, giving an alternative procedure to study and, in some cases, to solve the evolution equations [1]. The use of symplectic geometry in the study of Classical Mechanics has permitted to connect the Hamilton-Jacobi theory with the theory of lagrangian submanifolds and generating functions.

At the beginning of the 1900s an analog of Hamilton-Jacobi equation for field theory has been developed [24], but it has not been proved to be as powerful as the theory which is available for mechanics [4, 5, 21, 22, 23, 25].

Our goal in this paper is to describe this equation in a geometrical setting.

Let us recall that there are two different ways to describe a field theory, say multisymplectic and k-symplectic geometry. A multisymplectic structure abstracts the canonical geometry of bundles of exterior forms, in the same way that symplectic geometry captures the essential facts on cotangent bundles [6]. On the contrary, a k-symplectic structure is locally equivalent to the Whitney sum $(T^1_k)^*Q = T^*Q \oplus \overset{k}{\cdots}$

Key words and phrases. Hamilton-Jacobi theory, k-symplectic field theories.

$\oplus T^*Q$ of k-copies of the cotangent bundle T^*Q. In any case, given a Hamiltonian function, both geometric structures produce the field equations.

The aim of this paper is to extend the Hamilton-Jacobi theory to field theories just in the context of k-symplectic manifolds (we remit to [11] for a description in the multisymplectic setting). The dynamics for a given hamiltonian function H is interpreted as a family of vector fields (a k-vector field) on the phase space $(T^1_k)^*Q$. The Hamilton-Jacobi equation is of the form

$$d(H\circ\gamma)=0,$$

where $\gamma=(\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_k)$ is a family of closed 1-forms on Q. Therefore, we recover the classical form

$$H(q^i,\frac{\partial W^1}{\partial q^i},\dots,\frac{\partial W^k}{\partial q^i})=constant\,.$$

where $\gamma_i=dW_i$. It should be noticed that our method is inspired in a recent result by Cariñena *et al* [7] (this method has also used to develop a Hamilton-Jacobi theory for nonholonomic mechanical systems [10]; see also [12, 8]).

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we recall the notion of k-vector field and their integral sections. In Section 3 we discuss k-symplectic Hamiltonian field theory and the Hamilton-Jacobi equation in that context. The corresponding result in the lagrangian description of the field theory is obtained in Section 4. Finally, an example is discussed in Section 5, with the aim to show how the method works.

2. Geometric preliminaires

In this section we briefly recall some well-known facts about tangent bundles of k^1-velocities (we refer the reader to [13, 14, 18, 19, 20] for more details).

Let $\tau_M: TM\longrightarrow M$ be the tangent bundle of M. Let us denote by T^1_kM the Whitney sum $TM\oplus\overset{k}{\dots}\oplus TM$ of k copies of TM, with projection $\tau: T^1_kM\longrightarrow M$, $\tau(v_{1x},\dots,v_{kx})=x$, where $v_{Ax}\in T_xM$, $1\leq A\leq k$. T^1_kM can be identified with the manifold $J^1_0(\mathbb{R}^k,M)$ of the k^1-velocities of M, that is, 1-jets of maps $\eta:\mathbb{R}^k\longrightarrow M$ with source at $0\in\mathbb{R}^k$, say

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J^1_0(\mathbb{R}^k,M) & \equiv & TM\oplus\overset{k}{\dots}\oplus TM\\ j^1_{0,x}\eta & \equiv & (v_{1x},\dots,v_{kx})\end{array}$$

where $x=\eta(0)$, and $v_{Ax}=T\eta(0)(\dfrac{\partial}{\partial t^A}\Big|_0)$. Here $(t^1,\dots,t^k)$ denote the standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^k$. T^1_kM is called the *tangent bundle of* k^1*-velocities* of M or simply k-tangent bundle for short, see [19].

Denote by (x^i,v^i) the fibred coordinates in TM from local coordinates (x^i) on M. Then we have fibred coordinates (x^i,v^i_A), $1\leq i\leq m$, $1\leq A\leq k$, on T^1_kM, where $m=\dim M$.

Definition 2.1. *A section* $\mathbf{X}: M\longrightarrow T^1_kM$ *of the projection* τ *will be called a* k-vector field *on* M*.*

Since T^1_kM is the Whitney sum $TM\oplus\overset{k}{\dots}\oplus TM$ of k copies of TM, we deduce that to give a k-vector field $\mathbf{X}$ is equivalent to give a family of k vector fields $X_1,\dots,X_k$ on M by projecting $\mathbf{X}$ onto each factor. For this reason we will denote a k-vector field by $(X_1,\dots,X_k)$.

Definition 2.2. An integral section *of the k-vector field* $\mathbf{X}=(X_1,\dots,X_k)$*, passing through a point* $x\in M$*, is a map* $\psi\colon U_0\subset\mathbb{R}^k\longrightarrow M$*, defined on some neighborhood*

U_0 of $0\in\mathbb{R}^k$, such that

$$\psi(0)=x,\ T\psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^A}\Big|_t\right)=X_A(\psi(t))\quad,\quad \text{for every } t\in U_0,\ 1\leq A\leq k$$

or, what is equivalent, ψ satisfies that $X\circ\psi=\psi^{(1)}$, being $\psi^{(1)}$ is the first prolongation of ψ to T^1_kM defined by

$$\begin{array}{rccl}\psi^{(1)}: & U_0\subset\mathbb{R}^k & \longrightarrow & T^1_kM\\ & t & \longrightarrow & \psi^{(1)}(t)=j^1_0\psi_t\ ,\end{array}$$

where $\psi_t(s)=\psi(t+s)$.

A k-vector field $\mathbf{X}=(X_1,\dots,X_k)$ on M is said to be integrable if there is an integral section passing through every point of M.

In local coordinates, we have

$$\psi^{(1)}(t^1,\dots,t^k)=\left(\psi^i(t^1,\dots,t^k),\frac{\partial\psi^i}{\partial t^A}(t^1,\dots,t^k)\right),\ 1\leq A\leq k,\ 1\leq i\leq m\,,\quad(2.1)$$

and then ψ is an integral section of $(X_1,\dots,X_k)$ if and only if the following equations holds:

$$\frac{\partial\psi^i}{\partial t^A}=X^i_A\circ\psi\quad 1\leq A\leq k,\ 1\leq i\leq m\ ,$$

being $X_A=X^i_A\dfrac{\partial}{\partial q^i}$.

Notice that, in case $k=1$, Definition 2.2 coincides with the definition of integral curve of a vector field.

3. k-SYMPLECTIC HAMILTONIAN FIELD THEORY AND THE HAMILTON-JACOBI EQUATION

In this section, we shall recall the k-symplectic Hamiltonian formulation for classical field theories, (see [9, 20] for more details). Later, we shall describe the Hamilton-Jacobi problem in this setting.

3.1. **k-symplectic Hamiltonian field theory.** Let Q be a configuration manifold with local coordinates (q^i), $1\leq i\leq n$ and T^*Q its cotangent bundle with fibered coordinates (q^i,p_i). Denote by $\pi_Q:T^*Q\to Q$ the canonical projection. Define the Liouville 1-form or canonical 1-form θ_Q by

$$(\theta_Q)_\alpha(Y)=\alpha(T\pi_Q(Y)),\ \text{where } Y\in T_\alpha(T^*Q)$$

The canonical 2-form ω_Q on T^*Q is the symplectic form $\omega_Q=-d\theta_Q$. Therefore, we have

$$\theta_Q=p_i\,dq^i\ ,\qquad \omega_Q=dq^i\wedge dp_i\ .\qquad(3.1)$$

Denote

$$(T^1_k)^*Q=T^*Q\oplus\overset{k}{\cdots}\oplus T^*Q$$

the Whitney sum of T^*Q with itself k times. We introduce coordinates $(q^i,p^1_i,\dots,p^k_i)$ and the canonical projections

$$\Pi_Q:(T^1_k)^*Q\longrightarrow Q\ ,\ \Pi_A:(T^1_k)^*Q\longrightarrow T^*Q$$

where A indicates the summand A-th in the Whitney sum.

We can endow $(T^1_k)^*Q$ with a k-symplectic structure given by the family of k canonical presymplectic forms $(\omega^1,\dots,\omega^k)$, where

$$\omega^A=\Pi_A^*(\omega_Q)\ .$$

Therefore, we have

$$\omega^A = dq^i \wedge dp_i^A \,.$$

Denote also by $\theta^A = \Pi_A^*(\theta_Q)$.

Remark 3.1. Let us recall that a k-symplectic structures is given by a family of k two-forms satisfying some compatibility conditions (see [2, 3, 15, 16, 17, 18]). ⋄

Consider a Hamiltonian $H : (T_k^1)^*Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The field equations are then obtained as follows.

Consider the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \flat \ : \ & T_k^1((T_k^1)^*Q) \longrightarrow T^*((T_k^1)^*Q) \\ & z = (z_1, \ldots, z_k) \mapsto \flat(z) = \operatorname{trace}\left(i_{z_A}\,\omega^B\right) = \sum_{A=1}^k i_{z_A}\omega^A \end{aligned}$$

Then, we look for the solutions of the equation

$$\flat(Z) = dH \,. \tag{3.2}$$

Notice that $Z = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_k)$ is a k-vector field on $(T_k^1)^*Q$, that is, each Z_A is a vector field on $(T_k^1)^*Q$.

Using a local coordinates system (q^i, p_i^A) on $(T_k^1)^*Q$, each Z_A is locally given by

$$Z_A = Z_A^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + (Z_A)_i^B \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^B} \,.$$

Therefore, we obtain that the equation (3.2) is locally expressed as follows:

$$Z_A^i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i^A} \quad , \quad \sum_{A=1}^k (Z_A)_i^A = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} \,. \tag{3.3}$$

Now, if Z is integrable, an integral section of Z

$$\sigma(t^1, \ldots, t^k) = (\sigma^i(t^1, \ldots, t^k), \sigma_i^A(t^1, \ldots, t^k))$$

satisfies the Hamilton equations

$$\frac{\partial \sigma^i}{\partial t^A} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i^A} \circ \sigma \quad , \quad \sum_{A=1}^k \frac{\partial \sigma_i^A}{\partial t^A} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} \circ \sigma \,. \tag{3.4}$$

Let us observe that if $Z = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_k) \in \ker \flat$ then

$$Z_B^i = 0 \quad , \quad \sum_{A=1}^k (Z_A)_i^A = 0 \,. \tag{3.5}$$

3.2. **The Hamilton-Jacobi equation.**

The standard formulation of the Hamilton-Jacobi problem for Hamiltonian Mechanics consist of finding a function $S(t, q^i)$ (called the *principal function*) such that

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + H(q^i, \frac{\partial S}{\partial q^j}) = 0 \,. \tag{3.6}$$

If we put $S(t, q^i) = W(q^i) - t \cdot constant$, then $W \colon Q \to \mathbb{R}$ (called the *characteristic function*) satisfies

$$H(q^i, \frac{\partial W}{\partial q^j}) = constant \,. \tag{3.7}$$

Equations (3.6) and (3.7) are indistinctly referred as the *Hamilton-Jacobi equation* in Hamiltonian Mechanics.

In the framework of the k-symplectic formalism, a Hamiltonian is a function $H \in \mathcal{C}^\infty((T^1_k)^*Q)$. In this context, the Hamilton-Jacobi problem consists of finding k functions $W^1, \dots, W^k \colon Q \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$H(q^i, \frac{\partial W^1}{\partial q^i}, \dots, \frac{\partial W^k}{\partial q^i}) = constant \, . \tag{3.8}$$

In this section we give a geometric version of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (3.8).

Let $\gamma : Q \longrightarrow (T^1_k)^*Q$ be a closed section of $\Pi_Q : (T^1_k)^*Q \longrightarrow Q$. Therefore, $\gamma = (\gamma^1, \dots, \gamma^k)$ where each γ^A is an ordinary closed 1-form on Q. Thus we have that every point has an open neighborhood $U \subset Q$ where there exists k functions $W^A \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ such that $\gamma^A = dW^A$.

Now, let Z be a k-vector field on $(T^1_k)^*Q$. Using γ we can construct a k-vector field Z^γ on Q such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(T^1_k)^*Q \arrow[r, "Z"] \arrow[d, "\Pi_Q"] & T^1_k((T^1_k)^*Q) \arrow[d, "T^1_k\Pi_Q"] \\
Q \arrow[u, bend left, "\gamma"] \arrow[r, "Z^\gamma"] & T^1_kQ
\end{tikzcd}$$

that is,

$$Z^\gamma := T^1_k\Pi_Q \circ Z \circ \gamma \, .$$

Let us remember that for an arbitrary differentiable map $f : N \to M$, the induced map $T^1_kf : T^1_kN \to T^1_kM$ is defined by $T^1_kf(v_{1x}, \dots, v_{kx}) = (T_xf(v_{1x}), \dots, T_xf(v_{kx}))$.

Notice that the k-vector field Z defines k vector fields on $(T^1_k)^*Q$, say $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_k)$. In the same manner, the k-vector field Z^γ determines k vector fields on Q, say $Z^\gamma = (Z^\gamma_1, \dots, Z^\gamma_k)$.

In local coordinates, if each Z_A is locally given by

$$Z_A = Z^i_A \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + (Z_A)^B_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p^B_i} \, ,$$

then Z^γ_A has the following local expression:

$$Z^\gamma_A = (Z^i_A \circ \gamma) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} \, . \tag{3.9}$$

Theorem 3.2. *(Hamilton-Jacobi Theorem) Let Z be a solution of the Hamilton equations (3.2) and $\gamma : Q \longrightarrow (T^1_k)^*Q$ be a closed section of $\Pi_Q : (T^1_k)^*Q \longrightarrow Q$, that is, $\gamma = (\gamma^1, \dots, \gamma^k)$ where each γ^A is an ordinary closed 1-form on Q. If Z is integrable then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *If $\sigma \colon U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to Q$ is an integral section of Z^γ then $\gamma \circ \sigma$ is a solution of the Hamilton equations;*
- (ii) *$d(H \circ \gamma) = 0$.*

Proof. The closeness of the 1-forms $\gamma^A = \gamma^A_i dq^i$ states that

$$\frac{\partial \gamma^B_i}{\partial q^j} = \frac{\partial \gamma^B_j}{\partial q^i} \, . \tag{3.10}$$

$(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$

Let us suppose that $\gamma\circ\sigma(t) = (\sigma^i(t), \gamma_i^A(\sigma(t)))$ is a solution of the Hamilton equations for H, then

$$\frac{\partial\sigma^i}{\partial t^A}\Big|_t = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i^A}\Big|_{\gamma(\sigma(t))} \quad\text{and}\quad \sum_{A=1}^k \frac{\partial(\gamma_i^A\circ\sigma)}{\partial t^A}\Big|_t = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}\Big|_{\gamma(\sigma(t))} . \tag{3.11}$$

Now, we will compute the differential of the function $H\circ\gamma\colon Q\to\mathbb{R}$:

$$d(H\circ\gamma) = (\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}\circ\gamma + (\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j^A}\circ\gamma)\frac{\partial\gamma_j^A}{\partial q^i})\, dq^i . \tag{3.12}$$

Then from (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(H\circ\gamma)(\sigma(t)) &= \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}\Big|_{\gamma(\sigma(t))} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j^A}\Big|_{\gamma(\sigma(t))}\frac{\partial\gamma_j^A}{\partial q^i}\Big|_{\sigma(t)}\right) dq^i(\sigma(t)) \\ &= \left(-\sum_{A=1}^k \frac{\partial(\gamma_i^A\circ\sigma)}{\partial t^A}\Big|_t + \frac{\partial\sigma^j}{\partial t^A}\Big|_t \frac{\partial\gamma_j^A}{\partial q^i}\Big|_{\sigma(t)}\right) dq^i(\sigma(t)) \\ &= \left(-\sum_{A=1}^k \frac{\partial(\gamma_i^A\circ\sigma)}{\partial t^A}\Big|_t + \frac{\partial\sigma^j}{\partial t^A}\Big|_t \frac{\partial\gamma_i^A}{\partial q^j}\Big|_{\sigma(t)}\right) dq^i(\sigma(t)) = 0 \quad . \end{aligned}$$

the last term being zero by the chain rule. Since Z is integrable, the k-vector field Z^γ is integrable, then for each point $q\in Q$ we have an integral section $\sigma\colon U_0\subset\mathbb{R}^k\to Q$ of Z^γ passing trough this point, then

$$d(H\circ\gamma) = 0 .$$

$(ii)\Rightarrow(i)$

Let us suppose that $d(H\circ\gamma)=0$ and σ is an integral section of Z^γ. Now we will prove that $\gamma\circ\sigma$ is a solution to the Hamilton field equations, that is (3.11) is satisfied.

Since $d(H\circ\gamma)=0$, from (3.12) we obtain

$$0 = \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}\circ\gamma + (\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j^A}\circ\gamma)\frac{\partial\gamma_j^A}{\partial q^i} . \tag{3.13}$$

From (3.3) and (3.9) we know that

$$Z_A^\gamma = (\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i^A}\circ\gamma)\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}$$

and then since σ is an integral section of Z^γ we obtain

$$\frac{\partial\sigma^i}{\partial t^A} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i^A}\circ\gamma\circ\sigma . \tag{3.14}$$

On the other hand, from (3.10), (3.13) and (3.14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{A=1}^k \frac{\partial(\gamma_i^A\circ\sigma)}{\partial t^A} &= \sum_{A=1}^k (\frac{\partial\gamma_i^A}{\partial q^j}\circ\sigma)\frac{\partial\sigma^j}{\partial t^A} = \sum_{A=1}^k (\frac{\partial\gamma_i^A}{\partial q^j}\circ\sigma)(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j^A}\circ\gamma\circ\sigma) \\ &= \sum_{A=1}^k (\frac{\partial\gamma_j^A}{\partial q^i}\circ\sigma)(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j^A}\circ\gamma\circ\sigma) = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}\circ\gamma\circ\sigma . \end{aligned}$$

and thus we have proved that $\gamma\circ\sigma$ is a solution to the Hamilton field equations.

□

Remark 3.3. In the particular case $k=1$ the above theorem can be found in [11]. ◇

Theorem 3.4. *Let Z be a solution of the Hamilton equations (3.2) and $\gamma : Q \longrightarrow (T^1_k)^*Q$ be a closed section of $\Pi_Q : (T^1_k)^*Q \longrightarrow Q$, that is, $\gamma=(\gamma^1,\dots,\gamma^k)$ where each γ^A is an ordinary closed 1-form on Q. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $Z\circ\gamma - T^1_k\gamma(Z^\gamma)\in \ker\flat$
(ii) $d(H\circ\gamma)=0$.

Proof. We know that if Z_A and γ^A are locally given by

$$Z_A = Z^i_A\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + (Z_A)^B_i\frac{\partial}{\partial p^B_i} \quad , \quad \gamma^A=\gamma^A_i dq^i\ .$$

then $Z^\gamma_A = (Z^i_A\circ\gamma)\dfrac{\partial}{\partial q^i}$. Thus a direct computation shows that $Z\circ\gamma - T^1_k\gamma(Z^\gamma)\in \ker\flat$ is locally written as

$$\left((Z_A)^B_i\circ\gamma - (Z^j_A\circ\gamma)\frac{\partial\gamma^B_i}{\partial q^j}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^B_i}\circ\gamma\right) = (Y_A)^B_i\circ\gamma\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^B_i}\circ\gamma\right)\ . \tag{3.15}$$

where $(Y_A)^A_i=0$.

Now, we are ready to prove the result.

Assume that (i) holds, then from (3.3), (3.5) and (3.15) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{A=1}^k\left((Z_A)^A_i\circ\gamma - (Z^j_A\circ\gamma)\frac{\partial\gamma^A_i}{\partial q^j}\right)\\ &= -\left((\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}\circ\gamma) + (\frac{\partial H}{\partial p^A_j}\circ\gamma)\frac{\partial\gamma^A_i}{\partial q^j}\right)\\ &= -\left((\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}\circ\gamma) + (\frac{\partial H}{\partial p^A_j}\circ\gamma)\frac{\partial\gamma^A_j}{\partial q^i}\right)\end{aligned}$$

where in the last identity we are using the closeness of γ (see (3.10)). Therefore, $d(H\circ\gamma)=0$ (see (3.12)).

The converse is proved in a similar way by reversing the arguments.

□

Remark 3.5. In the particular case $k=1$ the above theorem can be found in [11]. ◇

Remark 3.6. It should be noticed that if Z and Z^γ are γ-related, that is, $Z_A = T\gamma(Z^\gamma_A)$, then $d(H\circ\gamma)=0$, but the converse does not hold. ◇

Corollary 3.7. *Let Z be a solution of (3.2), and γ a closed section of $\Pi_Q : (T^1_k)^*Q \longrightarrow Q$, as in the above theorem. If Z is integrable then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $Z\circ\gamma - T^1_k\gamma(Z^\gamma)\in\ker\flat$;
(ii) $d(H\circ\gamma)=0$;
(iii) *If $\sigma\colon U\subset\mathbb{R}^k\to Q$ is an integral section of Z^γ then $\gamma\circ\sigma$ is a solution of the Hamilton equations.*

The equation

$$d(H\circ\gamma)=0 \tag{3.16}$$

can be considered as the geometric version of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for k-symplectic field theories. Notice that in local coordinates, equation (3.16) reads us

$$H(q^i, \gamma_i^A(q)) = constant\ .$$

which when $\gamma^A = dW^A$, where $W^A \colon Q \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function, takes the more familiar form

$$H(q^i, \frac{\partial W^A}{\partial q^i}) = constant\,.$$

4. The Hamilton-Jacobi problem and the k-symplectic Lagrangian field theory

4.1. **k-symplectic Lagrangian field theory.** Consider now the Lagrangian formalism. Let $L \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(T_k^1Q)$ be a regular Lagrangian function, that is, the Hessian matrix $(\dfrac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v_A^i \partial v_B^j})$ has maximal rank. We can endow T_k^1Q with a k-symplectic structure given by the family of k 2-forms $(\omega_L^1, \dots, \omega_L^k)$, where

$$\omega_L^A = FL^*(\omega^A)$$

and $FL : T_k^1Q \to (T_k^1)^*Q$ is the Legendre transformation introduced by Günther [9]. In local coordinates $FL(q^i, v_A^i) = (q^i, \dfrac{\partial L}{\partial v_A^i})$. Thus we have

$$\omega_L^A = dq^i \wedge d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_A^i})\ .$$

Denote also by $\theta_L^A = FL^*(\theta^A)$.

We define the Lagrangian energy function as $E_L = \Delta(L) - L$ where $\Delta \in \mathfrak{X}(T_k^1Q)$ is the Liouville vector field, that is, the infinitesimal generator of the flow

$$\psi \colon \mathbb{R} \times T_k^1Q \to T_k^1Q \quad , \quad \psi(s, v_{1q}, \dots, v_{kq}) = (e^s v_{1q}, \dots, e^s v_{kq})\,.$$

As in the Hamiltonian formalism, we consider the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \flat_L \quad : \quad & T_k^1(T_k^1Q) \longrightarrow T^*(T_k^1Q) \\ & z = (z_1, \dots, z_k) \mapsto \flat_L(z) = \operatorname{trace}\left(i_{z_A}\,\omega_L^B\right) = \sum_{A=1}^k i_{z_A}\omega_L^A \end{aligned}$$

First we study the kernel of $\flat_L$.

Let $Z = (Z_l, \dots, Z_k)$ be a k-vector field on T_k^1Q, that is, each Z_A is a vector field on T_k^1Q locally given

$$Z_A = Z_A^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + (Z_A)_B^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v_B^i}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \flat_L(Z_1, \dots, Z_k) \quad = \quad & \left[(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^i \partial v_A^j} - \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^j \partial v_A^i}) Z_A^j - \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v_B^j \partial v_A^i} (Z_A)_B^j \right] dq^i \\ + \quad & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v_B^j \partial v_A^i}\, Z_A^i\, dv_B^j\ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Therefore, since L is regular, $(Z_1, \dots, Z_k) \in \ker \flat_L$ if and only if

$$Z_A^i = 0 \quad , \quad \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v_B^j \partial v_A^i} (Z_A)_B^j = 0\ . \tag{4.2}$$

Now, we look for the solutions of the equation

$$\flat_L(Z) = dE_L \tag{4.3}$$

which is locally expressed as follows:

$$Z^i_A = v^i_A \quad , \quad \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^j \partial v^i_A} v^j_A + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^j_B \partial v^i_A} (Z_A)^j_B = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} \,. \tag{4.4}$$

Then, if Z is integrable, an integral section

$$\sigma(t^1, \dots, t^k) = (q^i(t^1, \dots, t^k), v^i_A(t^1, \dots, t^k))$$

satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$v^i_A = \frac{\partial q^i}{\partial t^A} \quad , \quad \sum_{A=1}^k \frac{d}{dt^A}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_A}\right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} \,. \tag{4.5}$$

4.2. Hamilton-Jacobi problem on T^1_kQ.

In this section we formulate the Hamilton-Jacobi problem on the tangent bundle of k^1-velocities.

In the section 3.2 we comment that the Hamilton-Jacobi problem in the k-symplectic framework consists in finding k functions $W^1, \dots, W^k \colon Q \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$H(q^i, \frac{\partial W^1}{\partial q^i}, \dots, \frac{\partial W^k}{\partial q^i}) = constant \tag{4.6}$$

In a geometric terms, equation (4.6) can be written as $H \circ (dW^1, \dots, dW^k) = constant$, where $(dW^1, \dots, dW^k)$ is a section of the tangent bundle of k^1-covelocities, $(T^1_k)^*Q$. As we have seen in the section 3.2, we look for a closed section $\gamma = (\gamma^1, \dots, \gamma^k)$ of Π_Q such that $H \circ \gamma = \gamma^* H = constant$. Let us observe that the section γ is closed, and hence locally exact, $\gamma^A = dW^A$. The condition $d\gamma = 0$ can be alternatively be expressed in terms of the canonical forms $(\omega^1, \dots, \omega^k)$ in the form $\gamma^*\omega^A = 0$, $A = 1 \dots, k$, so that one can reformulate the Hamilton-Jacobi geometric problem in the form: *find a section* $\gamma = (\gamma^1, \dots, \gamma^k) \colon Q \to (T^1_k)^*Q$ *of* Π_Q *such that*

$$\gamma^* H = constant \quad , \quad \gamma^*\omega^A = 0 \,, \quad A = 1, \dots, k \,. \tag{4.7}$$

Consider now the Lagrangian k-symplectic formalism. Let $L \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(T^1_kQ)$ be a regular Lagrangian function and θ^A_L, ω^A_L, $A = 1, \dots, k$ the associated Lagrangian forms. A literal translation of the above formulation of the Hamilton-Jacobi problem for the tangent bundle of k^1-covelocities to the tangent bundle of k^1-velocities would be: *to find a section* $X = (X_1, \dots, X_k) \colon Q \to T^1_kQ$ *of* τ *such that*

$$X^* E_L = constant \quad , \quad X^*\omega^A_L = 0 \,, \quad A = 1, \dots, k \,, \tag{4.8}$$

where E_L *denotes the energy function associated to* L. The last family of conditions $X^*\omega^A_L = 0$, $A = 1, \dots, k$ implies that the section X is associated (at least locally) with a mapping $W = (W^1, \dots, W^k) \colon Q \to \mathbb{R}^k$ by means of the relation $X^*\theta^A_L = dW^A$. In fact,

$$0 = X^*\omega^A_L = -d(X^*\theta^A_L)$$

then, the 1-form $X^*\theta^A_L$ is closed and therefore locally exact, thus there is a function $W^A \colon Q \to \mathbb{R}$ defined on a neighborhood of each point of Q, such that, $X^*\theta^A_L = dW^A$. Locally, this means

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_A} \circ X = \frac{\partial W^A}{\partial q^i} \,.$$

In this section we will give a geometric version of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (4.8).

Theorem 4.1. *Let* $X = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ *be an integrable* k*-vector field on* Q *such that* $X^*\omega_L^A = 0$*. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *If* $\sigma \colon U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to Q$ *is an integral section of* X *then* $\sigma^{(1)}$ *is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations;*
(ii) $d(E_L \circ X) = 0$*.*

Proof. Since $X^*\omega_L^A = 0$ we have that the 1-forms $X^*\theta_L^A$ are closed. The closeness of this 1-forms states that

$$\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^j \partial v^i_A} \circ X + \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^k_B \partial v^i_A} \circ X \right) \frac{\partial X^k_B}{\partial q^j} = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^i \partial v^j_A} \circ X + \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^k_B \partial v^j_A} \circ X \right) \frac{\partial X^k_B}{\partial q^i} \tag{4.9}$$

where $X(q) = (q, X^k_B(q))$.

In first place, let us suppose that $\sigma(t) = (\sigma^i(t))$ is an integral section of X

$$X^i_A \circ \sigma = \frac{\partial \sigma^i}{\partial t^A}\,, \tag{4.10}$$

such that $\sigma^{(1)}$ is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations.

We will prove that $d(E_L \circ X) = 0$ along σ. Now, we compute the differential of the function $E_L \circ X \colon Q \to \mathbb{R}$. In local coordinates we obtain that

$$E_L \circ X = X^i_A (\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_A} \circ X) - L \circ X$$

then

$$d(E_L \circ X) = \left(X^i_A \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^j \partial v^i_A} \circ X + (\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^k_B \partial v^i_A} \circ X) \frac{\partial X^k_B}{\partial q^j} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^j} \circ X \right) dq^j\ . \tag{4.11}$$

Therefore, from (4.5), (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(E_L \circ X)(\sigma(t)) \\
= & \left(X^i_A(\sigma(t)) (\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^j \partial v^i_A}\Big|_{X(\sigma(t))} + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^k_B \partial v^i_A}\Big|_{X(\sigma(t))} \frac{\partial X^k_B}{\partial q^j}\Big|_{\sigma(t)}) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^j}\Big|_{X(\sigma(t))} \right) dq^j(\sigma(t)) \\
= & \left(X^i_A(\sigma(t)) (\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^i \partial v^j_A}\Big|_{X(\sigma(t))} + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^k_B \partial v^j_A}\Big|_{X(\sigma(t))} \frac{\partial X^k_B}{\partial q^i}\Big|_{\sigma(t)} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^j}\Big|_{X(\sigma(t))}) dq^j(\sigma(t)) \\
= & \left(\frac{\partial \sigma^i}{\partial t^A}\Big|_t \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^i \partial v^j_A}\Big|_{\sigma^{(1)}(t)} + \frac{\partial^2 \sigma^k}{\partial t^A \partial t^B}\Big|_t \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^k_B \partial v^j_A}\Big|_{\sigma^{(1)}(t)} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^j}\Big|_{\sigma^{(1)}(t)} \right) dq^j(\sigma(t)) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $d(E_L \circ X) = 0$ along σ and since the k-vector field X is integrable, for each point $q \in Q$ we have an integral section σ of X passing trough this point, then

$$d(E_L \circ X) = 0\,.$$

The converse is proved in a similar way by reversing the arguments. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let* Z *be a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations (4.3) and* $X : Q \longrightarrow T^1_k Q$ *be a section of* $\tau : T^1_k Q \longrightarrow Q$*, such that* $X^*\omega_L^A = 0$*. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $Z \circ X - T^1_k X(X) \in \ker \flat_L$
(ii) $d(E_L \circ X) = 0$*.*

Proof. A direct computation shows that if Z_A and X_A are locally given by

$$Z_A = v^i_A \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + (Z_A)^i_B \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i_B} \quad , \quad X_A = X^i_A \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}$$

then

$$Z_A \circ X - TX(X_A) = \left((Z_A)^j_B \circ X - X^i_A \frac{\partial X^j_B}{\partial q^i} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^j_B} \circ X\right) \tag{4.12}$$

Now, we are prepared to prove the result.

Assume that (i) holds, then from (4.2), (4.4) and (4.12) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left((Z_A)^j_B \circ X - X^k_A \frac{\partial X^j_B}{\partial q^k} \right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^j_B \partial v^i_A} \circ X\right) \\ &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} \circ X - X^j_A \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^j \partial v^i_A} \circ X + \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^k_B \partial v^i_A} \circ X\right) \frac{\partial X^k_B}{\partial q^j} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} \circ X - X^j_A \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^i \partial v^j_A} \circ X + \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^k_B \partial v^j_A} \circ X\right) \frac{\partial X^k_B}{\partial q^i} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where in the last identity we are using the closeness of $X^*\theta^A_L$ (see (4.9)). Therefore, $d(E_L \circ X) = 0$ (see (4.11)).

The converse is proved in a similar way by reversing the arguments.

□

Corollary 4.3. *Let Z be a solution of (4.3), and X an integrable k-vector field on Q such that $X^*\omega^A_L = 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $Z \circ X - T^1_k X(X) \in \ker \flat_L$;
(ii) $d(E_L \circ X) = 0$;
(iii) *If $\sigma \colon U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to Q$ is an integral section of X then $\sigma^{(1)}$ is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations.*

The equation

$$d(E_L \circ X) = 0 \tag{4.13}$$

can be considered as the geometric Lagrangian version of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for k-symplectic field theories. Notice that in local coordinates, the equation (4.13) reads us

$$E_L(q^i, X^i_A(q^j)) = \textit{constant}\,. \tag{4.14}$$

If $X^*\omega^A_L = 0$, then $0 = X^*\omega^A_L = -X^* d\theta^A_L = -d(X^*\theta^A_L)$, we have that every point has an open neighborhood $U \subset Q$ where there exists k functions $W^A \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ such that

$$dW^A = X^*\theta^A_L = \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_A} \circ X\right) dq^i$$

and then in local coordinates this means

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_A} \circ X = \frac{\partial W^A}{\partial q^i}\,.$$

If the Lagrangian L is regular, then the Legendre transformation FL is a local diffeomorphism, then in a neighborhood of each point of $T^1_k Q$ we have $H = E_L \circ FL^{-1}$. Therefore, if we consider the section $\gamma = (X^*\theta^1_L, \dots, X^*\theta^k_L) : Q \to (T^1_k)^* Q$, locally given by

$$\gamma(q) = \left(q, \frac{\partial W^A}{\partial q^i}\Big|_q\right)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(\gamma(q)) &= H(q^i, \frac{\partial W^A}{\partial q^i}) = H(q^i, \frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_A}\Big|_{X(q)}) = H\circ FL(X(q)) \\ &= E_L(X(q)) = E_L(q^i, X^i_A(q^B)) = constant \end{aligned}$$

and thus (4.14) takes the form

$$H(q^i, \frac{\partial W^A}{\partial q^i}) = constant\,,$$

where $H = E_L \circ FL^{-1}$.

5. EXAMPLE

Vibrating string. In this example we consider the theory of a vibrating string. Coordinates (t^1, t^2) are interpreted as the time and the distance along the string, respectively.

Let us denote by (q, p^1, p^2) the coordinates of $(T^1_2)^*\mathbb{R}$ and let us consider the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{array}{cccc} H\colon & (T^1_2)^*\mathbb{R} & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ & (q, p^1, p^2) & \mapsto & \dfrac{1}{2}\left(\dfrac{(p^1)^2}{\sigma} - \dfrac{(p^2)^2}{\tau}\right) \end{array}$$

where σ and τ are certain constants of the mechanical system. In a real string, these constants represent the linear mass density, that is, a measure of mass per unit of length and Young's module of the system related to the tension of the string, respectively.

Let $\gamma\colon \mathbb{R} \to (T^1_2)^*\mathbb{R}$ be the section of $\pi_{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by $\gamma(q) = (aq\,dq, bq\,dq)$ where a and b are two constants such that $\tau a^2 = \sigma b^2$. This section γ satisfies the condition $d(H\circ\gamma) = 0$, therefore, the condition (i) of the Theorem 3.2 holds.

The 2-vector field $Z^\gamma = (Z^\gamma_1, Z^\gamma_2)$ is locally given by

$$Z^\gamma_1 = \frac{a}{\sigma}q\frac{\partial}{\partial q} \quad , \quad Z^\gamma_2 = -\frac{b}{\tau}q\frac{\partial}{\partial q}$$

If $\psi\colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is an integral section of Z^γ, then

$$\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t^1} = \frac{a}{\sigma}\psi \quad , \quad \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{b}{\tau}\psi,$$

thus

$$\psi(t^1, t^2) = C\exp\left(\frac{a}{\sigma}t^1 - \frac{b}{\tau}t^2\right), \quad C\in\mathbb{R}$$

By Theorem 3.2 one obtains that the map $\phi = \gamma\circ\psi$, locally given by

$$(t^1, t^2) \mapsto (\psi(t^1, t^2), a\psi(t^1, t^2), b\psi(t^1, t^2)),$$

is a solution of the Hamilton equations associated to H, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t^1} + b\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t^2} \\ \frac{a}{\sigma}\psi &= \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t^1} \\ -\frac{b}{\tau}\psi &= \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t^2} \end{aligned}$$

Let us observe that from this system one obtains that ψ is a solution of the motion equation of the vibrating string, that is,

$$\sigma\partial_{11}\psi - \tau\partial_{22}\psi = 0, \tag{5.1}$$

where $\psi(t^1, t^2)$ denotes the displacement of each point of the string as function of the time t^1 and the position t^2.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the partial financial support of *Ministerio de Innovación y Ciencia*, Project MTM2007-62478, MTM2008-00689, MTM2008-03606-E/, MTM2009-13383 and project Ingenio Mathematica(i-MATH) No. CSD2006-00032 (Consolider-Ingenio2010).

References

[1] R.A. Abraham, J.E. Marsden: *Foundations of Mechanics* (Second Edition), Benjamin-Cummings Publishing Company, New York, 1978.

[2] A. Awane: k-symplectic structures. *J. Math. Phys.* **33** (1992), 4046–4052.

[3] A. Awane, M. Goze: *Pfaffian systems, k-symplectic systems*. Kluwer Academic Publishers , Dordrecht 2000.

[4] M.C. Bertin, B.M. Pimentel, P.J. Pompeia: Hamilton-Jacobi approach for first order actions and theories with higher order derivatives. *Annals of Physics* **323** (2008), 527–547.

[5] D. Bruno: Constructing a class of solutions for the Hamilton-Jacobi equations in field theory. *J. Math. Phys.* **48**, 112902 (2007).

[6] F. Cantrijn, A. Ibort and M. de León: On the geometry of multisymplectic manifolds. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* **66** (1999), 303–330.

[7] J. F. Cariñena, X. Gràcia, G. Marmo, E. Martínez, M.C. Muñoz-Lecanda, N. Román-Roy: Geometric Hamilton-Jacobi theory. *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **3** (2006), no. 7, 1417–1458.

[8] J. F. Cariñena, X. Gràcia, G. Marmo, E. Martínez, M.C. Muñoz-Lecanda, N. Román-Roy: Geometric Hamilton-Jacobi Theory for Nonholonomic Dynamical Systems. *arXiv:0908.2453.*

[9] C. Günther: The polysymplectic Hamiltonian formalism in field theory and calculus of variations I: The local case. *J. Differential Geom.* **25** (1987), 23-53.

[10] M. de León, David Iglesias-Ponte, D. Martín de Diego: Towards a Hamilton-Jacobi theory for nonholonomic mechanical systems. *J. Phys. A* **41** (2008), no. 1, 015205, 14 pp

[11] M. de León, J.C. Marrero, D. Martín de Diego: A geometric Hamilton-Jacobi theory for classical field theories. In *Variations, Geometry and Physics in honour of Demeter Krupkas sixty-fifth birthday*, O. Krupkova and D. J. Saunders (Editors), Nova Science Publishers Inc., New York 2009, pp. 129–140.

[12] M. de León, J.C. Marrero, D. Martín de Diego: Linear almost Poisson structures and Hamilton-Jacobi equation. Applications to nonholonomic Mechanics. *arXiv:0801.4358*

[13] M. de León, I. Méndez, M. Salgado: p-almost tangent structures. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* Serie II **XXXVII** (1988), 282-294.

[14] M. de León, I. Méndez, M. Salgado: Integrable p-almost tangent structures and tangent bundles of p^1-velocities. *Acta Math. Hungar.* **58**(1-2) (1991), 45-54.

[15] M. de León, E. Merino, M. Salgado: k-cosymplectic manifolds and Lagrangian field theories. *J. Math. Phys.* 42 (2001), no. 5, 2092–2104.

[16] M. de León, E. Merino, M. Salgado: Stable almost cotangent structures. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B* (7) 11 (1997), no. 3, 509–529.

[17] M. de León, E. Merino, J.A. Oubina, P.R. Rodrigues, M. Salgado: Hamiltonian systems on k-cosymplectic manifolds. *J. Math. Phys.* 39 (1998), no. 2, 876–893.

[18] M. de León, M. McLean, L.K. Norris, A.M. Rey, M. Salgado: Geometric Structures in Field Theory. ArXiv:math-ph/0208036v1 (2002).

[19] A. Morimoto: Liftings of some types of tensor fields and connections to tangent p^r-velocities. *Nagoya Qath. J.* **40** (1970), 13-31.

[20] F. Munteanu, A. M. Rey, M. Salgado: The Günther's formalism in classical field theory: momentum map and reduction. *J. Math. Phys.* **45**(5) (2004) 1730–1751.

[21] C. Paufler, H. Romer: De Donder-Weyl equations and multisymplectic geometry. In: *XXXIII Symposium on Mathematical Physics (Torún, 2001). Rep. Math. Phys.* **49** no. 2-3 (2002), 325–334.

[22] C. Paufler, H. Romer: Geometry of Hamiltonian n-vector fields in multisymplectic field theory. *J. Geom. Phys.* **44** no. 1 (2002), 52–69.
[23] G. Rosen: Hamilton-Jacobi functional theory for the integration of classical field equations. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **4**, 4 (1971), 281–285.
[24] H. Rund: The Hamilton-Jacobi Theory in the Calculus of Variations. Hazell, Watson and Viney Ltd., Aylesbury, Buckinghamshire, U.K. 1966.
[25] L. Vitagliano: The Hamilton-Jacobi formalism for hifgher order field theories. http://arxiv.org/abs/1003.5236v1

M. de León: Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM), Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Serrano 123, 28006 Madrid, Spain

E-mail address: `mdeleon@icmat.es`

D. Martín de Diego: Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (CSIC-UAM-UCM-UC3M), Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, C/ Serrano 123, 28006 Madrid, Spain

E-mail address: `david.martin@icmat.es`

J.C. Marrero: Departamento de Matemática Fundamental, Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad de la Laguna, Spain

E-mail address: `jcmarrer@ull.es`

M. Salgado: Departamento de Xeometría e Topoloxía, Facultade de Matemáticas, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782-Santiago de Compostela, Spain

E-mail address: `modesto.salgado@usc.es`

S. Vilariño: Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de A Coruña, Campus de A Zapateira, 15008-A Coruña, Spain

E-mail address: `silvia.vilarino@udc.es`